

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ms

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,885	10/03/2000	Daniel F. White	9236	4770	
26884	7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER		
PAUL W. MARTIN			RUDY, ANDREW J		
NCR CORPORATION, LAW DEPT. 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			3627		
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/27/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/678	3,885	WHITE, DANIEL	F.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Andrev	Joseph Rudy	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with ti	he correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTOR OF THE MINIST	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICAT o event, however, may a reply to d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this of the content of the conte				
Status					•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
•	•	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
· 4)	· ·4) Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9-11,14-16,19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,14-16,19 and 20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Of	fice Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				,			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim · ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docu	ments have been rec	eived in this National	Stage			
•	application from the Internatio	•						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the ce	ertified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachmen	• •		<u></u>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 048)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-948)		nal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 11, 14-16, 19 and 20 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "enabling a person . . . omission from a digital receipt" is not clearly supported.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,885

Art Unit: 3627

Claim 1, lines 7-8 the phrase "enabling a person . . . omission from a digital receipt" is not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 4-6, 9 and 10, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumori, US 6,179,206.

Matsumori discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-8, a method of processing a purchase transaction data on a retail terminal, e.g. 16, where the transaction data may be edited, e.g. claim 18. Matsumori does not disclose omission of the data. To have provided omission of data from the editing process of Matsumori would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such is that omitting data in an editing process has been common knowledge in the data art.

8. Further pertinent references are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,885

Art Unit: 3627

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627